

KNEE ARTHROSCOPY **WITH** OSTEOARTHRITIS EVIDENCE BASED INTERVENTIONS (EBI) POLICY

Version:	2122.v3b
Recommendation by:	Somerset CCG Clinical Commissioning Policy Forum (CCPF)
Date Ratified:	July 2021
Name of Originator/Author:	EBI Team
Approved by Responsible Committee/Individual:	Somerset CCG Clinical Executive Committee (CEC)
Publication/issue date:	August 2021
Review date:	Earliest of either NICE publication or 3 years from issue
Target audience:	<p>SCCG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NHS Providers • GP Practices • Contracts Team <p>Medical Directors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yeovil District Hospital NHS FT • Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS FT • Somerset Foundation Trust
Application Form	Generic EBI Application

**KNEE ARTHROSCOPY WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS
EVIDENCE BASED INTERVENTIONS (EBI) POLICY**

Section	Contents	Page
	Version Control	
1	General Principles	
2	Policy Criteria	
3	Evidence Based Interventions Application Process	
4	Access To Policy	
5	References	

VERSION CONTROL

Document Status:	current
Version:	2122.v3b

DOCUMENT CHANGE HISTORY

Version	Date	Comments
1718.v2	January 2019	Knee arthroscopy with and without osteoarthritis separated into 2 policies in line with the National Guidance statutory policy. CBA to EBI. IFR replaced with EBI
1819.v3	October 2020	Removal of Ligament rupture repair, inclusion of Arthroscopic Ligament rupture repair routinely commissioned, updated General Principles & EBIP process
2021.v3a	June 2021	Three-year review, no clinical amendments. Inclusion of Locked knee - Meniscal Tear Surgery CBA Policy in line with NHS England EBI List 2

Equality Impact Assessment	January 2019
Quality Impact Assessment QIA	January 2019
Sponsoring Director:	Sandra Cory
Document Reference:	2122.v3b

1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES (EBI)

- 1.1 Funding approval must be secured prior to a referral for an assessment and/or surgery. Referring patients to secondary care without funding approval having been secured not only incurs significant costs in out-patient appointments for patients that may not qualify for surgery, but inappropriately raises the patient's expectation of treatment
- 1.2 On limited occasions, we may approve funding for an assessment only in order to confirm or obtain evidence demonstrating whether a patient meets the criteria for funding. In such cases, patients should be made aware that the assessment does not mean that they will be provided with surgery and surgery will only be provided where it can be demonstrated that the patients meets the criteria to access treatment in this policy
- 1.3 Funding approval will only be given where there is evidence that the treatment requested is effective and the patient has the potential to benefit from the proposed treatment. Where it is demonstrated that patients have previously been provided with the treatment with limited or diminishing benefit, funding approval is unlikely to be agreed
- 1.4 Receiving funding approval does not confirm that they will receive treatment or surgery for a condition as a consent discussion will need to be undertaken with a clinician prior to treatment
- 1.5 The policy does not apply to patients with suspected malignancy who should continue to be referred under 2 week wait pathway rules for assessment and testing as appropriate
- 1.6 Patients with an elevated BMI of 30 or more may experience more post-surgical complications including post-surgical wound infection so should be encouraged to lose weight further prior to seeking surgery.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1198743X15007193>
(Thelwall, 2015)
- 1.7 Patients who are smokers should be referred to smoking cessation services in order to reduce the risk of surgery and improve healing

2 POLICY - NOT COMMISSIONED

- 2.1 Where there is locking of the knee please refer to the CCG Locked Knee - Meniscal Tear Surgery CBA Policy
- 2.2 Arthroscopic Ligament rupture repair is routinely commissioned for all patients (with or without osteoarthritis) who have not responded adequately to conservative treatment

2.3 Knee arthroscopy **with** osteoarthritis is not commissioned by the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)

2.4 NICE recommends that arthroscopic knee washout **should not** be used as a treatment for patients with osteoarthritis

Arthroscopic knee washout (lavage and debridement) should not be used as a treatment for osteoarthritis because it is clinically ineffective

For further information, please see:

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg230/chapter/1-Guidance>

2.5 More effective treatment includes exercise programmes (e.g. [Escape-pain - Self management for Arthritic pain using exercise](#)), losing weight (if necessary) and managing pain. Osteoarthritis is relatively common in older age groups.

Where symptoms do not resolve after non-operative treatment, referral for consideration of knee replacement, or joint preserving surgery such as osteotomy is appropriate

2.6 Where an MRI shows unstable meniscal tear please refer to the CCG Locked Knee - Meniscal Tear Surgery CBA Policy

3 **EVIDENCE BASED INTERVENTIONS APPLICATION PROCESS**

3.1 Patients who are not eligible for treatment under this policy may be considered on an individual basis where their GP or Consultant believes exceptional circumstances exist that warrant deviation from the rule of this policy

3.2 Completion of a **Generic EBI Application Form** by a patient's GP or Consultant is required

3.3 Applications cannot be considered from patients personally

3.4 Only electronically completed EBI applications will be accepted to the EBI Service

3.5 It is expected that clinicians will have ensured that the patient, on behalf of who they are forwarding the application for, is appropriately informed about the existing policies prior to an application to the EBI service. This will reassure the service that the patient has a reasonable expectation of the outcome of the application and its context

3.6 EBI applications are reviewed and considered against clinical exceptionality

- 3.7 For further information on ‘clinical exceptionality’ please refer to the NHS England information using the link below page 9-13;
<https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/comm-policy-individual-funding-requests.pdf>
- 3.8 Social, Emotional and Environmental factors *i.e. income, housing, environmental pollution, access to services, family, friends, ethnicity, life experiences etc.* CANNOT be considered with an application
- 3.9 Where appropriate photographic supporting evidence can be forwarded with the application form
- 3.10 An application put forward for consideration must demonstrate some unusual or unique clinical factor about the patient that suggests they are exceptional as defined below:
- Significantly different to the general population of patients with the condition in question
 - Likely to gain significantly more benefit from the intervention than might be expected from the average patient with the condition

4 ACCESS TO POLICY

- 4.1 If you would like further copies of this policy or need it in another format, such as Braille or another language, please contact the Patient Advice and Liaison Service on Telephone number: 08000 851067
- 4.2 **Or write to us:** NHS Somerset Clinical Commissioning Group, Freepost RRKL-XKSC-ACSG, Yeovil, Somerset, BA22 8HR or **Email us:**
somccg.pals@nhs.net

5 REFERENCES

The following sources have been considered when drafting this policy:

- 6.1 [NHS England - Wave 2 EBI document](#)
- 6.2 [Meniscal surgery guidelines - \(baskonline.com\)](#)
- 6.3 NICE guidance: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg230/chapter/1-Guidance>
- 6.4 Brignardello-Petersen R, Guyatt GH, Buchbinder R, et al Knee arthroscopy versus conservative management in patients with degenerative knee disease: a systematic review BMJ Open 2017;7:e016114. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen2017-016114`
- 6.5 Moseley JB, O’Malley K, Petersen NJ et al. (2002) A controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. The New England Journal of Medicine 347: 81–8.
- 6.6 Hubbard MJS. (1996) Articular debridement versus washout for degeneration of the medial femoral condyle. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (British) 78-B: 217–19.
- 6.7 Kalunian KC, Moreland LW, Klashman DJ et al. (2000) Visually- guided irrigation in patients with early knee osteoarthritis: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 8: 412–18.

- 6.8 Chang RW, Falconer J, Stulberg SD et al. (1993) A randomized, controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery versus closed-needle joint lavage for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 36: 289–96.
- 6.9 Jackson RW, Dieterichs C. (2003) The results of arthroscopic lavage and debridement of osteoarthritic knees based on the severity of degeneration: a 4- to 6-year symptomatic follow-up. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery* 19: 13–20.
- 6.10 Bernard J, Lemon M, Patterson MH. (2004) Arthroscopic washout of the knee – a 5-year survival analysis. *The Knee* 11: 233–5
- 6.11 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177/chapter/1-Recommendations>